Syncrystallization: A Technique for Temporization of Immediately Loaded Implants with Metal-Reinforced Acrylic Resin Restorations
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ABSTRACT

Background: Rigid temporization has been recognized to have a significant impact on the peri-implant tissue response in immediate implant loading since it reduces the mechanical stress exerted on each implant.

Purpose: A successful protocol for immediate loading of multiple implants depends on an adequate fixation and immobility of the implants to prevent the risk of micromovements in relation to the surrounding bone. The objective of this article was to evaluate a prosthetic concept for an accelerated rigid splinting of multiple implants for same-day immediate loading with metal-reinforced provisional restorations using a technique of welding temporary implant abutments with a prefabricated titanium bar directly in the oral cavity (syncrystallization).

Materials and Methods: Between June 2004 and January 2005, immediate loading of threaded implants with a metal-reinforced acrylic resin provisional restoration at stage 1 surgery was evaluated in 40 consecutive patients. A total of 192 implants were placed in selected edentulous or partially edentulous patients using the syncrystallization technique. Once the titanium bar was welded intraorally to the abutments, opaque was applied and the provisional restoration was relined and screw-retained the same day. In addition, a comparison of deformations and stress distributions in implant-supported, metal-reinforced and nonmetal-reinforced resin provisional restorations was analyzed in the edentulous mandible by a three-dimensional finite element model (FEM).

Results: All of the 192 rigidly temporized immediately loaded implants osseointegrated. An implant success rate of 100% was achieved over a period of 6 months postplacement. No fracture or luting cement failure of the provisional restoration occurred during the observation time. Compared to mere acrylic superstructures, a significant reduction of deformation and strain within metal-reinforced provisional restorations was detected by FEM analysis.

Conclusion: The results of this study indicate that the syncrystallization technique allows an expedite and adequate rigid splinting of multiple immediately loaded implants. The advantages of the technique are: (1) reduction of treatment time for immediate temporization at stage 1 surgery; (2) predictable fixation and immobility of implants in the early stages of bone healing; and (3) less time for repairing provisional restorations as a result of no or rare fracture.
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Although initial considerations in implant dentistry have claimed that the process of osseointegration requires on average an undisturbed healing of 3 months in the mandible and 6 months in the maxilla,¹-³ an increasing interest has been noticed with regard to early and immediate loading of implants to expedite the restorative outcome. Donath and colleagues⁴ reported that load exerted at the implant interface may interfere with the process of bone healing and lead to fibrous encapsulation. However, clinical and experimental animal trials have shown that long-term success of removable and fixed prostheses of immediately loaded dental implants can be achieved.⁵-²¹ In a clinical study involving the analysis of a substantial number of implants, the authors demonstrated the predictability and high success rates of immediate functional and nonfunctional loading.²² Recently published results of a 7-
year follow up of immediately loaded implants revealed comparable outcomes for delayed loaded implants with a satisfactory level of osseointegration and high success rates. In addition, initial implant mobility does not inevitably prevent osseointegration. In general, micro-motion at the implant interface has to be distinguished from uncontrolled masticatory forces. The peri-implant bone adjusts its architecture according to its capacity to withstand functional loading. Consequently, the strains induced by these loads affect the bone remodeling process. It has been suggested in the literature that the magnitude of the load forces between the implant and the bone determines the implant success. Therefore, one key to the success of titanium implants seems to be the adequate bone remodeling at the periphery of the implant. Microstrain may be a favorable stimulus for osseointegration, while a movement of 150 μm or more results in fibrous encapsulation of implants. A successful, accelerated protocol for implant rehabilitation depends upon several interactive factors: besides accurate presurgical diagnostics and treatment planning, implant macro- and microdesign, the adequate fixation and immobility of the implant are of utmost importance to prevent the risk of micromovements related to the surrounding bone. Rigid splinting seems to have a significant impact on the peri-implant tissue response since it is able to reduce the mechanical stress exerted on each implant. If rigidity is lost, implant failure is likely to occur due to uncontrolled masticatory forces. Consequently, the stability of the prosthetic restoration and the ability to keep the micromovements below the critical threshold are considerably increased by rigid splinting. Prosthetic concepts for immediate loading of multiple implants in the edentulous or partially edentulous mandible and maxilla reported in the literature involve bar-supported overdentures in the mandible, retrofitting of preexisting prostheses to implants, or fabricating acrylic resin provisional restorations. This indicates that rigid acrylic resin provisional restorations are able to confine the occlusal forces applied to the bone-implant interface to a physiological range. Material stability and fracture strength are essential in maintaining the rigidity of provisional restorations on immediately loaded implants over a longer period of time. However, long-span acrylic resin restorations are subject to flexion and fracture under occlusal forces. This applies in particular for a cross-arch stabilization of multiple implants in the edentulous mandible. The human mandible presents a complex elastic biomechanical behavior under functional loading. This is a result both of its U-shaped anatomic conformation with posterior insertion sites of masticatory muscles, and of the complex structure and elasticity of the constituent bone. It is known that the simultaneous contraction of the lateral pterygoid muscles during mandibular opening and protrusion exerts a medial, forward, and downward traction of the condyles. As a consequence of the elastic flexure of the mandible, the distance between the left and the right mandibular rami on the frontal plane is reduced. The flexure has been assumed to take place around the symphysis generating a reduction of width in the posterior regions of the mandible. From a biomechanical point of view, a rigid splint of dental implants by means of a fixed cross-arch bridge could induce torsional stress that could be transmitted to the prosthetic superstructure, leading to fracture of the restoration and failure of the luting cement. Previously described techniques for reinforcement of acrylic resin provisional restorations involve either the use of a thin wire or fibers throughout the span, or a time-consuming fabrication of a cast metal framework in the laboratory that covers the facial and/or lingual surfaces of the provisional restoration. While Longoni and colleagues reported a method to reduce prosthetic misfit of implant-supported complete dentures using the combination of intraoral luting and extraoral laser welding, Hruska and Mondani and Mondani introduced a time-effective intraoral welding
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The objective of this article was to evaluate a prosthetic concept for an accelerated rigid splinting of multiple implants for same-day immediate loading with metal-reinforced acrylic resin provisional restorations by a technique of welding temporary implant abutments with a prefabricated titanium bar directly in the oral cavity. In addition, a comparison of the biomechanical effect of bite forces and mandibular functional flexure on stress buildup in temporary implant-supported fixed restorations is discussed. The relative deformations and stress distributions in metal-reinforced and nonmetal-reinforced acrylic resin provisional restorations in the edentulous mandible were analyzed by a three-dimensional finite element model (FEM).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Patient Criteria

Forty patients were treated between June 2004 and January 2005. The subjects were screened according to the following inclusion criteria: a recipient bone site that allowed the insertion of an implant with a minimum length of 10 mm and a minimum diameter of 3.5 mm, no need of bone augmentation procedures prior to implant placement, controlled oral hygiene, no tooth decay in cases of partial edentulism and no active periodontal disease, and the willingness of the patient to give informed consent. The exclusion criteria were as follows: nontreated caries and uncontrolled periodontal disease in cases of partial edentulism, adjacent teeth that exceeded class I mobility, bruxism or other parafunctional habits, unstable posterior occlusion (missing or early contacts in maximum intercuspation), smoking more than 20 cigarettes per day, and general health or medications that might compromise the osseointegration process (Figure 1).

Surgical Procedure

All patients underwent the same surgical protocol. Antimicrobial prophylaxis was obtained with amoxicillin 500 mg twice daily for 5 days starting 1 hour before surgery. Local anesthesia was induced by infiltration with articaine/epinephrine and postsurgical analgesic treatment was performed with Nimesulide™ (Merck Generics Italy, Milano, Italy) 100 mg twice daily for 3 days. The patients had a soft diet for 4 weeks. Oral hygiene instructions were provided. A mucoperiosteal flap was elevated after crestal incision. Implants were placed following the respective manufacturers’ instructions. A minimum insertion torque of 30 Ncm was used for all implants that were clinically stable. A total of 192 implants were inserted: 16 FRIALIT®, 112 XiVE®, 13 XiVE TG®, and 23 ANKYLOS® (DENTSPLY Friadent, Mannheim, Germany); and 14 Maestro® and 14 Prodigy® (Biohorizons, Birmingham, AL, USA). Twenty-six partially edentulous patients received 89 implants in the following sites: two central incisors, four lateral incisors, 10 cuspids, 40 premolars, and 33 molars. Forty-two implants were placed in the edentulous maxilla of six patients, and 61 implants in the edentulous mandible of eight patients. The distribution of implant location and type is summarized in Table 1, A–F.

<p>| TABLE 1 A, Number of Implants and Cases According to Partial and Total Edentulism |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Number of Implants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Totally edentulous</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partially edentulous</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| TABLE 1 B, Number of Implants and Cases of Edentulous Patients |
|------------------|-------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Cases</th>
<th>Number of Implants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Edentulous mandible</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edentulous maxilla</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
First, a facebow transfer and a centric relation record were utilized to mount the diagnostic casts on a semi-adjustable articulator. Subsequently, a diagnostic wax-up for a preliminary provisional fixed restoration was fabricated and converted to autopolymerizing resin (Figure 2). After surgical placement of the implants, temporary titanium abutments were connected to them (Figure 3). If implants with an internal hexagon were used, the connection was rounded before the welding process, avoiding a nonretrievability of the welded piece in case of pronounced disparallelism. The abutments used consisted of two parts (abutment and retaining screw) in order to ensure the retrievability of the welded piece. A preexisting or prepared flat surface area served as the welding point. A titanium bar was shaped following the curvature of the implants positioned. At this point, temporary titanium implant abutments were welded with the titanium bar in the oral cavity (Figures 4 and 5), using the Syncrystallization Unit®.
The welding process is electrical and protected by an argon gas supply (Syncrystallization). The equipment allows the welding of metallic elements directly in the mouth. The two elements to be welded are placed between the two electrodes of a welding clamp. The energy contained in a previously unloaded battery of capacitors is transferred to the electrodes of the welding clamp. Current flowing through the contact points, being in contact with the parts to weld, warms up to the point of fusion, achieving a solid, welded junction (Figure 6). The welding cycle is subdivided in three stages: Pre-gas, welding, and post-gas phase. While the pre-gas phase allows an oxygen-free welding point prior to the actual fusion, the post-gas phase ensures the absence of oxygen and subsequent oxidation during cooling. A barely perceptible sound can be perceived during the use of the Syncrystallization Unit. Welding of the pieces takes only a fraction of a second. The process is carried out without producing any heat, causing no discomfort to the patient or damage to surrounding tissues. The quality of the welding joint between the two titanium components is very good either at low or high magnification (Figures 11 and 12). Finally, the prosthetic framework, created by welding the titanium bar to the implant abutments, was removed and opaque was applied in order to avoid metal shining through the acrylic resin. The provisional restoration was relined, trimmed, polished, and screw-retained the same day (Figures 7–10). Occlusal contact was avoided in centric and lateral excursions.

**Finite Element Analysis**

A mandibular three-dimensional FEM was created by sequential sectioning, scanning, and imaging of a solid-foam edentulous mandible (Sawbones®, Pacific Research Laboratories, Inc., Malmö, Sweden). The mandibular section profiles were collected at 8-mm increments. The intercondylar dimension was 8 mm.
The height of the mandibular bone in the symphysis was 30 and 18 mm in the left, respectively, and 15 mm in the right first molar region. All traces were assembled into a three-dimensional wire frame model by means of an ordinary three-dimensional computer-aided design. Four threaded cylindrical titanium implants (XiVE, DENTSPLY Friadent) with a total length of 13 mm and a diameter of 3.8 mm were incorporated into the model. Each implant was fully inserted into the bone, and temporary titanium abutments (TempBase, DENTSPLY Friadent) were mounted. Two implants were placed in each quadrant of the mandible in the center of the mandibular crest, symmetrically to the midsagittal plane, within the region of the canine and second premolar. Three-dimensional mandibular models of an implant-supported, cross-arch provisional restoration on four implants with and without metal reinforcement (Figures 13 and 14) were analyzed and compared. Both prosthetic superstructures were conceived as fixed, acrylic
resin, symmetrical bridges with a section of $7 \times 9$ mm. One model was additionally reinforced by a metal framework fabricated of titanium implant abutments, intraorally welded to a titanium bar of 2 mm in diameter. In accordance to FEM accuracy requirements of using a model over 30,000 degrees of freedom, the final FEM model was designed linearly, using 90,000 solid elements. The specific element types used in the analysis are listed in Table 2. Subsequently, a virtual masticatory load was chosen according to clinical conditions in the oral cavity. Apart from individual anatomic and physiologic characteristics, previous studies have shown that maximal bite forces vary according to the region in the oral cavity. While the greatest bite force was found in the first molar region, incisors only bear about one-third to one-fourth of that force in the posterior region. Mean values varying from 180 to 847 N for the maximum force level could be shown, whereas smaller values ranging from 94 to 250 N have been reported for the incisal region. Consequently, masticatory forces in the present FEM were simulated using average external loads of 300 N in the anterior region (incisors to canines), and 900 N in the posterior region (premolars to molars). FEM was carried out by Ansys® 8.0 software (ANSYS Inc., Canonsburg, PA, USA) comparing von-Mises and maximum stress levels obtained from the calculation. The following assumptions were made to simulate the mechanical behavior of mandibular bone:

1. Total bonding between bone and implants (complete implant osseointegration).
2. Considering an atrophied edentulous mandible, bony tissue was simulated by assuming solely cortical bone with a Young’s modulus of 13.7 GPa. A Young’s modulus of 115 GPa was assumed for the titanium implant (grade 2). The choice of the applied Young’s modulus for cortical bone and titanium was within the range of values reported in the literature. Literature references and reported physical properties are itemized in Table 3. A Young’s modulus of 96 GPa was assumed for the implant abutment (titanium alloy) and 3.2 GPa for the autopolymerizing polymethylmethacrylate.

### Table 2: Overview of Elements used in Finite Element Model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name of Element Type</th>
<th>ANSYS – Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10-Node quadratic tetrahedron</td>
<td>Solid187</td>
<td>10-Node tetrahedral structural solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-Node quadratic hexahedron</td>
<td>Solid186</td>
<td>20-Node hexahedral structural solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Node quadratic triangular contact</td>
<td>Conta174</td>
<td>Hi-order surface-surface contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-Node quadratic triangular target</td>
<td>Target170</td>
<td>Surface contact target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Node quadratic quadrilateral contact</td>
<td>Conta174</td>
<td>Hi-order surface-surface contact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Node quadratic quadrilateral target</td>
<td>Target170</td>
<td>Surface contact target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. The cortical bone thickness was considered consistently throughout the mandibular body.
4. An arbitrary load of 10 N was applied in the x-direction on the mandible, simulating previously reported forces of the lateral pterygoid muscles pulling medially on the condyles. 47, 48
5. The structural analysis was assumed linear and static.

RESULTS
All of the 192 rigidly temporized immediately loaded implants osseointegrated. An implant success rate of 100% was achieved over a period of 6 months post-placement. No fracture or luting cement failure of the provisional restoration occurred during the observation time. In comparison to mere acrylic superstructures, a significant reduction of deformation and strain within metal-reinforced acrylic resin provisional restorations could be detected in FEM analysis. The titanium framework-reinforced provisional restorations investigated in the current study exhibited a reduction of maximum von-Mises strain values of 300–500% at external loads of 300 N in the anterior and 900 N in the posterior region. The strain values measured at the implant abutments and along the provisional spans are given in Tables 4–6. With regard to the relationship between stress distribution and implant location along the mandibular arch, maximum stress values were located at the level of the most distal implants.

DISCUSSION
An optimal biomechanical stress distribution, both at the level of the provisional superstructure and at the level of the implant infrastructure, is the primary aim of the rigid temporization of multiple immediately loaded implants. Stress distribution in mandibular, fixed, implant-supported restorations is greatly influenced by many variables, including prosthetic design and material, occlusal scheme, bone structure, shape and activity of masticatory muscles, implant location, as well as design and material of implants and implant abutments. Although the present FEM analysis revealed a high decrease of deformation and maximum strain in titanium framework-reinforced acrylic resin restorations, caution must be given when extrapolating FEM data to clinical situations, since multiple in vivo variables are excluded from a controlled computer analysis. The tendency of strain reduction was, however, obvious. Research in fixed implant prosthodontics on osseointegrated implants has advised to section the superstructure in multiple free-standing bridges, rather than designing one cross-arch rigid restoration. 47, 69 A rigid restorative system could not follow the flexure of the mandibular bone, generating high stress concentrations and increasing the rate of screw loosening and fractures. In the treatment concept of immediate implant loading, however, an adequate fixation and immobility of implants in the early stages of bone healing is a prerequisite to prevent micromovements in relation to the surrounding bone. After successful osseointegration of immediately loaded implants, splitting the final superstructure into multiple free-standing bridges should be taken into account, thereby allowing an adequate stress distribution and a better prosthetic fit as a result of fewer

<p>| TABLE 3 Physical Material Properties of Bone and Titanium with Corresponding References |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Young’s Modulus (GPa) – Reference</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (GPa) – Reference</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (GPa) – Reference</th>
<th>Young’s Modulus (GPa) – Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cortical bone</td>
<td>13.7–64</td>
<td>13.7–66</td>
<td>14.7–65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cancellous bone</td>
<td>2.5–64</td>
<td>1.37–66</td>
<td>0.5–65</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<p>| TABLE 4 Strain and Deformation Values for Mere (Nonmetal-Reinforced) Acrylic Resin Provisional Restoration |
|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------|</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Maximum (von-Mises) Strain (MPa)</th>
<th>Maximum Deformation (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acrylic resin restoration</td>
<td>57, 74</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium bar</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TempBase abutment 35</td>
<td>2.591, 51</td>
<td>0.0189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TempBase abutment 33</td>
<td>1.479, 43</td>
<td>0.00747</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TempBase abutment 43</td>
<td>1.346, 09</td>
<td>0.00748</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TempBase abutment 45</td>
<td>3.775, 88</td>
<td>0.0188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
connected abutments. Prosthodontic challenges in fixed immediate temporization of multiple implants can be both safely and predictably addressed when using the syncrystallization technique. In addition to rigid implant splinting, the provisional restoration serves as a guide for the final superstructure while aesthetics and phonetics are evaluated for patient acceptance. The temporization procedure can be significantly accelerated and causes minimal discomfort or interruption in function and cosmetics for the patient. Although using the Syncrystallization Unit needs no specific training, an excellent collaboration between the restorative-experienced surgeon and the laboratory team is desirable. The equipment is easy to employ and is currently in the process of modification to reduce the size of the welding clamp as well as the weight of the unit. The device can be obtained worldwide and has received consumer electronics certification, meeting the requirements of European Directives for Medical Devices. It has not been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for the US market yet.

**CONCLUSION**

The results of this study indicate that the syncrystallization technique allows an expedite and adequate rigid splinting of multiple implants for same-day immediate loading. In comparison to mere acrylic superstructures, a significant reduction of deformation and strain within metal-reinforced provisional restorations could be detected in FEM analysis. The advantages of the technique are: (1) reduction of treatment time for immediate temporization at stage 1 surgery; (2) predictable fixation and immobility of implants in the early stages of bone healing; and (3) less time spent for repairing provisional restorations as a result of no or less frequent fracture.
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**TABLE 5** Strain and Deformation Values for Titanium Framework-Reinforced Acrylic Resin Provisional Restoration and Its Strain Reduction Compared to Nonmetal-Reinforced Provisional Restorations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Object</th>
<th>Maximum (von-Mises) Strain (MPa)</th>
<th>Maximum Deformation (mm)</th>
<th>Strain Reduction (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acrylic resin restoration</td>
<td>103,74</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>+79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium bar</td>
<td>162,64</td>
<td>0.0991</td>
<td>—</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TempBase abutment 35</td>
<td>802,14</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>−323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TempBase abutment 33</td>
<td>453,27</td>
<td>0.00876</td>
<td>−326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TempBase abutment 43</td>
<td>447,14</td>
<td>0.00878</td>
<td>−301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TempBase abutment 45</td>
<td>722,54</td>
<td>0.0184</td>
<td>−525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE 6** Reduction of Strain and Deformation in Titanium Framework-Reinforced Acrylic Resin Provisional Restorations (%)

(A) Nonmetal reinforced. (B) Titanium framework reinforced.
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(Results from FEM analysis showing strain reduction for different restorations.)

